



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,237	33,237 02/20/2004		Masakazu Kawamura	P/2617-24	1367
2352	7590	04/17/2006		EXAMINER	
		ER GERB & SOFF	ADDY, ANTHONY S		
1180 AVEN NEW YORK		IE AMERICAS 0368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2617	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/783,237	KAWAMURA, MASAKAZU				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anthony S. Addy	2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status							
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/ard Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	e: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/783,237 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on January 23, 2006.
 Claims 1-22 are pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamieniecki, U.S. Publication Number 2003/0066080 A1 (hereinafter Kamieniecki) and further in view of Huang et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,829,512 (hereinafter Huang).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 16, 18, 19 and 22, Kamieniecki teaches a program, method and remote-control system including an automatic set-up device (see Fig. 1; automatic set-up device 100), a data server (see p. 3 [0028] and Fig. 1; [i.e. the

Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

Headend 135 reads on a data server]), and a network allowing said automatic set-up device and said data server to communicate with each other therethrough (see p. 3 [0026 & 0029-0030] and Fig. 1), wherein said automatic set-up device includes: (a) a memory storing a plurality of remote-control codes therein (see p. 4 [0038 & 0041] and Fig. 2; shows a memory 245); (b) a signal transmitter which transmits a first remotecontrol signal to a target device, based on a remote-control code selected among said remote-control codes for causing said target device to carry out a desired operation (see p. 4 [0038] and Fig. 2; shows an IR Blaster 255 for transmitting a signal to control electronic devices 107 [i.e. reads on a target device], based on a remote-control code selected among said remote-control codes from database 142 or memory 245); (c) a signal receiver which receives a second remote-control signal indicative of a certain operation, from a terminal which remote-controls said target device (see p. 4 [0037] and Fig. 2; shows an IR receiver 262 which receives a signal from native remote controls 108 [i.e. reads on a terminal] for controlling electronic devices 107 [i.e. reads on a target device]); and (d) a controller (see p. 4 [0037] and Fig. 2; shows a controller 220) which (d1) determines a remote-control code, based on said second remote-control signal having been received by said signal receiver (see p. 4 [0037]), (d2) receives a set of remote-control codes from said data server (see p. 3 [0028 & 0034], p. 4 [0038] and p. 5 [0044]), and (d3) stores the thus received set of remote-control codes in said memory as said plurality of remote-control codes (see p. 3 [0028 & 0034], p. 4 [0038] and p. 5 [0044 & 0052]), and wherein said data server receives said second remote-control signal, and transmits said set of remote-control codes associated with said target device

Application/Control Number: 10/783,237

Art Unit: 2617

and selected in accordance with said second remote-control signal, to said automatic set-up device (see p. 2 [0028], p. 4 [0038] and p. 5 [0044-0045]).

Kamieniecki fails to explicitly teach the automatic set-up device is a mobile terminal.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Huang teaches a controlling device to remotely control the operation of one or more consumer appliances, and wherein an example of the controlling device includes personal digital assistants (PDAs), expanded function cellular telephones e.t.c (see col. 3, lines 24-40). According to Huang, a command code library and executable instructions are stored in the memory of the controlling device, and are transmitted from the controlling device to implement specific features on the consumer appliances by any suitable wired or wireless transmission means such as IR, radio frequency (RF), or the like (see col. 3, lines 40-45).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the automatic set-up device of Kamieniecki with the controlling device of Huang, in order to remotely control one or more consumer appliances, based on command codes stored in memory of the controlling device and transmitted from the controlling device to implement specific features on the consumer appliances by any suitable wired or wireless transmission means such as IR, radio frequency (RF), or the like as taught by Huang (see col. 3, lines 40-45), and in addition to the fact that the automatic set-up device of Kamieniecki can be made mobile for the advantage of making it portable, and consequently more attractive for sale.

Regarding claims 2, 8 and 15, Kamieniecki in view of Huang teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 7 and 12. Kamieniecki further teaches a remote-control system, wherein said set of remote-control codes include at least a category and a manufacturer of said target device (see p. 4 [0038] and p. 5 [0052]).

Regarding claim 4, Kamieniecki in view of Huang teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Kamieniecki further teaches a remote-control system, wherein said controller includes a signal producer which produces said first remote-control signal, based on said remote-control code having been read out of memory (see p. 4 [0038]).

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Kamieniecki in view of Huang teaches all the limitations of claims 1 and 7. Kamieniecki further teaches a remote-control system, wherein said controller includes a sampler which samples said second remote-control signal having being received by said signal receiver, and determines a remote-control code, based on the thus sampled second remote-control signal (see p. 4 [0037-0038]).

Regarding claim 13, Kamieniecki in view of Huang teaches all the limitations of claim 12. Kamieniecki further teaches a method, wherein a user actuates a predetermined key of a remote-controller used for remote-controlling said target device (see p. 3 [0031] and p. 5 [0044]).

Regarding claims 14 and 20, Kamieniecki in view of Huang teaches all the limitations of claims 12 and 19. Kamieniecki further teaches a program and method, further comprising the step of converting said remote-control signal into a digital data, which is transmitted from said mobile radio-signal terminal to said data server (see p. 4 [0037-0038] and p. 5 [0044-0045]).

Regarding claims 17 and 21, Kamieniecki in view of Huang teaches all the limitations of claims 12 and 19. Huang further teaches a program and method, further comprising the step of transmitting said remote-control data from said mobile radio-signal terminal to said target device for remote-controlling said target device (see col. 3, lines 24-30).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wall et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,989,763 discloses web-based universal remote control.

Tokuhashi, U.S. Publication Number 2005/0192051 A1 discloses mobile terminal-based remote control technique.

Zhou et al., U.S. Publication Number 2005/0159175 A1 discloses radio remote control transmitted by short message of the mobile telephone system thereof.

Kim, U.S. Publication Number 2005/0009470 A1 discloses remote control method in mobile communication terminal.

Leong, U.S. Publication Number 2006/0028431 A1 discloses remote control system.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony S. Addy whose telephone number is 571-272-7795. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc M. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony S. Addy April 7, 2006 ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO PRIMARY EXAMINER